




Is publishing in the chemical sciences gender biased?

TALENT

Our analysis of over 700,000 papers concludes:

"Biases exist at each step of the publishing profile. Many of these appear minor in isolation, yet their combined effect puts women at a significant disadvantage."

We found a trend indicating decreasing opportunity for women in research publishing:



are women



are by women corresponding authors



accepted by editors and sent for peer review are by women



accepted after peer review are by female corresponding authors



have a corresponding author who is a woman

Papers written by women are cited* on average 5.6 times; men are cited 7.2 times:

"I've experienced times where my papers have not been cited. I reviewed a book where there are two chapters devoted to research in which I had published seminal papers. There are about 300 references in there and I'm not cited at all." Author, reviewer and contributing editor

* between RSC journals Women are less likely to submit to journals

with higher impact factors

"I think women are much more careful about looking

at the criteria. Men will say, 'my paper doesn't meet those 100% but I'll go for it anyway', whereas women tend to say: 'I don't meet that particular criterion, I should therefore moderate which journal I go for." Author, reviewer and guest editor

To combat gender bias in chemical science publishing we will:

1 Increase transparency



Undertake the most comprehensive analysis and reporting to date of our authors, reviewers and editorial decision

makers by sub-discipline - and publish this annually. We call on other publishers to do the same. 2 Reflect our research community

Recruit and train reviewers, editorial board members and



associate editors to reflect the current gender balance of our research community – the target is 36% women

by 2022. 3 Empower and innovate Provide new training and resources to empower our editors

to eliminate bias. We will test new models throughout



the publishing profile to address bias from submission to publication.

4 Encourage intervention Partner with others and lead the development of a new Inclusion & Diversity Framework for Action to set the standard for driving change within the academic publishing

Read our full report:

industry.



rsc.li/gender-bias

#ChemEquality